UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Unite	ed States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Vic	v. etor Lamont Garcia	Case No. 1:12-cr-00107-RJJ
	Defendant	
After conductir that the defendant be c		t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact
	ral offense a state or local offense that woul	S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of Id have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	e of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 the prison term is 10 years or more.	l), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offe	ense for which the maximum sentence is death or	r life imprisonment.
an offe	ense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	urs or more is prescribed in:
		*
	ny committed after the defendant had been convidually . § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 l offenses.
any fe	lony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
-	a minor victim	meting device or any other depression weepen
-	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2) The offense or local offer	described in finding (1) was committed while the	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of le	ess than 5 years has elapsed since the date	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	cribed in finding (1).	
	e community. I further find that defendant has no	nat no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another trebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Findin	ngs (A)
	bable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	
Contro	ich a maximum prison term of ten years or more ibled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	is prescribed in:*
	18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	ant has not rebutted the presumption established oly assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions afety of the community.
,	Alternative Findin	ngs (B)
, ,	erious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2) There is a se	erious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	
I find that the to	estimony and information submitted at the detent	tion hearing establishes by clear and convincing

evidence \checkmark a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant, at the age of 20 years, has an extensive criminal history.
- 2. Defendant has repeatedly failed to appear in court as ordered.
- 3. Defendant has no employment history.
- 4. The alleged instant offense occurred while defendant was awaiting sentencing on a separate state charge.
- 5. Defendant previously absconded from a halfway house.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 25, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	